UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SELECT DIVISION

United States of America,	Case No. $3:24 - MT - 71200$ MAG
Plaintiff,) v.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
GREGORY AWCTA ALVAREZ Defendant(s).	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on Trial Act from 8/27/2024 to 9/97/2026 to continuance outweigh the best interest of the public a 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bas	and finds that the ends of justice served by the and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § sees this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance would be See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of the proof or law, that it is unreasonable to expect	due to [check applicable reasons] the number of rosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial d by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, e diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
	unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given itments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time king into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the cour paragraph and — based on the parties the time limits for a preliminary hearing	d taking into account the public interest in the prompt it sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending ing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 8/21/2024	Lisa J. Cisnero
01, 0, 1, 3	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney